## Case 1:21-cr-00051-JCC-BAM Document 106 Filed 01/02/25 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
2	JUSTIN J. GILIO ROBERT L. VENEMAN-HUGHES	
3	Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721	
4		
5	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
6		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA	CASE NO. 1:21-cr-00051-JCC-BAM
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESET STATUS CONFERENCE
14	V.	
15	OSCAR ORELLANA GUEVARA,	
16	Defendant.	
17	THE DADTIES HEDERY STIDIN ATE	through their respective counsel. Assistant United
18	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE, through their respective counsel, Assistant United	
19	States Attorney Robert Veneman-Hughes, on behalf of the government, and Michael Berdinella and	
20	Richard Tamor, Esqs., on behalf of defendant Oscar Orellana Guevara ("Guevara"), move to continue	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	the status conference set for January 22, 2025 to February 26, 2025 at 1 PM, and stipulate as follows:	
22	This case is connected to a larger case, U	S. v. Leiva-Leiva et al, 22-cr-00232. The Leiva case
23	has voluminous discovery which is releva	ant to Guevera's trial. A notice of related cases has been
24	filed, and the government has previously	informed defense and the court that it anticipates this
25	case being joined to the Leiva-Leiva case by way of superseding indictment.	
26	2. Substantial additional discovery has been provided connected to the Leiva-Leiva case as well as the Orellana case, including the contents of Orellana's cell phone, which were encrypted until the	
27 28		
_0	government was able to recently access to	he file.

## Case 1:21-cr-00051-JCC-BAM Document 106 Filed 01/02/25 Page 2 of 3

- 3. This case had previously been set at the same time and date as the Leiva-Leiva case, on February 19, 2025. Time had been excluded until that date.
- 4. Subsequently, this case and the Leiva-Leiva case were moved to January 22, 2025, as the case was reassigned to a new judge.
- 5. That date is a conflict for several counsel, and so by stipulation the Leiva-Leiva case is being continued to February 26, 2025.
- 6. By this stipulation, the defendant moves to continue the status conference to February 26, 2025, and exclude time until that date.
- 7. This is the same date as the Leiva-Leiva related case is set and it would be in the interest of court efficiency for these matters to be set on the same date.
- 8. The government does not object to this continuance.
- 9. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - i) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes investigative reports, and related documents, photographs, etc., in electronic form. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
  - ii) Defense counsel requires additional time to review discovery and investigate.
  - iii) The government does not object to the continuance.
  - iv) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
  - v) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of <u>January 22, 2025</u>, to <u>February 26</u>, <u>2025</u>, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's

## request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such 1 action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 2 10. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy 3 4 Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 5 must commence. 6 7 8 Dated: January 2, 2025 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney 9 10 /s/ ROBERT L. VENEMAN-HUGHES ROBERT L. VENEMAN-HUGHES 11 Assistant United States Attorney 12 /s/ MICHAEL BERDINELLA Dated: January 2, 2025 13 /s/ RICHARD TAMOR MICHAEL BERDINELLA 14 RICHARD TAMOR 15 Counsel for Defendant OSCAR ORELLANA GUEVARA 16 17 **ORDER** 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from January 22, 2025, to **February** 20 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. Time is 21 excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\( \) 3161(h)(7)(A) and 18 U.S.C.\( \) 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 1s/Barbara A. McAuliff Dated: January 2, 2025 25 26 27

Case 1:21-cr-00051-JCC-BAM Document 106 Filed 01/02/25 Page 3 of 3

28